## Media Arts Collaborative Charter

## District: Media Arts Collaborative Charter

Final Grade 2018
B
Overall Score 66.02
Grade Range: 6-12 Code: 501001

## Current Standing

Are students performing on grade level? Did they improve more or less than expected?

- State benchmark established in 2012

Possible Points

## School Improvement

Is the school as a whole making academic progress?

| School Improvement |
| :--- |
| Is the school as a whole making academic progress? |
| Improvement of Higher-Performing Students |
| Are higher-performing students improving more or less |
| than expected? |
| Improvement of Lowest-Performing Students |
| Are the lowest-performing students improving more or |
| less than expected? |
| Opportunity to Learn |
| Do students and families believe their school is a good |
| place to attend and learn? |
| Graduation |
| Are students graduating high school, and is the |
| graduation rate improving? |

## Improvement of Lowest-Performing Students

Are the lowest-performing students improving more or less than expected?

## Opportunity to Learn

Do students and families believe their school is a good place to attend and learn?

## Graduation

Are students graduating high school, and is the graduation rate improving?


30
12.80


## Note for Families

If your student is enrolled in a school that has earned two " $F$ " grades in the last four years, state law allows you to transfer your child to a school with a higher grade. Please call (505)-827-6909 to learn more. For information about other schools in your community, please visit the School Grading web page at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolGrading.html.

## Final

 Points
## High Schools

High schools earn a final grade based on
75.0 to 100.0 A
65.0 to 74.9 B
50.0 to 64.9 C
35.0 to 49.9 D
0.0 to $34.9 \quad \mathrm{~F}$ these ranges, which were set in 2012.

Tests
School Grading draws on student performance from these state assessments:
PARCC Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers Mathematics, Reading 3-11
SBA Standards Based Assessment - Spanish Reading 3-11
NMAPA New Mexico Alternate Performance Assessment
DIBELS Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (prior to 2017)
IStation IStation (beginning 2017)

| Mathematics, Reading | $3-11$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Early Literacy | KN-2 |
| EN |  |

## Details of Each Grade Indicator

Current Standing

Knowing how many students are proficient is a measure of the school's overall success. Current Standing uses up to three years of student performance to provide a broader picture of school achievement. Current Standing also includes a measure of student growth (Value-Added Modeling) that looks at school size, student mobility, and prior student performance.

|  | AllStudents | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Am } \\ \text { Indian } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Econ } \\ & \text { Disadv } \end{aligned}$ | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Reading Proficient (\%) | 48 | 58 | 35 | 56 | - | 38 | - | 30 | 41 | 18 | - |
| Points Proficiency | 4.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points Student Growth | 2.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math Proficient (\%) | 20 | 20 | 19 | 22 | - | 15 | - | 30 | 16 | 6 | - |
| Points Proficiency | 1.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points Student Growth | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Proficiencies Over Time

Students are performing on grade level with Proficient or Advanced scores.

School Improvement

School growth (Value-Added Modeling) compares overall student performance from year to year and considers the progress of all students whether or not they are proficient.

|  | Reading | Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Index | -0.01 | 0.45 |
| Points | 2.48 | 3.36 |

Growth can be negative or positive. When it is positive, the school performed better than was expected when compared to other schools with the same size, mobility, and prior student performance.

Every student's prior test scores are used to estimate how they should have performed this year.

Student Growth Their academic growth is considered within two groups, the lowest-performing $25 \%$ of students and the higher-performing students ( $75 \%$ ).

Above Zero This group performed higher than expected.
Near Zero This group performed as expected based on their academic history.
Below Zero This group performed below expectations, and students are falling behind when compared to their peers.

|  | School Overall |  |  | Student Groups |  |  |  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr American | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Econ Disadv |  |  |
| Reading Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher-Performing | -0.27 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.10 | - | -0.08 | - | - | 0.08 | 0.08 | - |
| Points | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest-Performing | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.18 | - | 0.39 | - | - | 0.18 | 0.15 | - |
| Points | 3.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher-Performing | 0.49 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.12 | - | 0.19 | - | - | 0.20 | 0.09 | - |
| Points | 3.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest-Performing | 0.36 | -0.10 | 0.37 | 0.05 | - | 0.24 | - | - | 0.12 | 0.04 | - |
| Points | 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Growth Over Time | Reading |  | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Greater than Expected | Lowest-Performing 0.97 | Higher-Performing 1.07 | Lowest-Performing | Higher-Performing |
|  |  |  | $0.0 .36$ | $-0.09<0.25$ |
| Growth Lower than Expected | 201620172018 | 201620172018 | 201620172018 | 201620172018 |

Opportunity to Opportunity to Learn is a reflection of the environment schools provide for student learning. Learn

| Student Attendance |  | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Econ } \\ & \text { Disadv } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Students } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { Disabilities } \end{gathered}$ | English Language Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students | F | M | White | $\begin{gathered} \text { Afr } \\ \text { Amer } \end{gathered}$ | Hisp | Asian | $\begin{gathered} \text { Am } \\ \text { Indian } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Average (\%) | 94 | 93 | 94 | 93 | - | 94 | - | - | 94 | 95 | - |
| Points | 2.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Surveys

| Score (Average) | 35.59 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Points | 3.95 |
| Number of Surveys | 425 |

Students answer survey questions on topics such as classroom teaching and expectations of students. The survey contains 10 questions with answers from 0 (Never) to 5 (Always) for a maximum score of 50 . For students in grades KN-2, a parent or family member completes the survey.


| College High school students are expected to participate in at least one college or career readiness program: <br> and 1) College entrance exams (Accuplacer, ACT, ACT Aspire, Compass, PLAN, PSAT, SAT, or SAT Subject Test) <br> Career 2) Evidence that the student can pass a college-level course (Advanced Placement, Dual Credit, or IB) <br> Readiness 3) Eligibility for an industry-recognized certification (Career Technical Education) <br> Points are given separately for students' participation and for their success in achieving targets.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \text { or Higher } \\ & 20 \%-50 \% \\ & \text { Below } 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | All Students | Gen F | M | White | Race <br> Afr <br> Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Econ Disadv | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners |
| Participation (\% of Cohort) | 88 | 77 | $\geq 90$ | $\geq 90$ | - | $\geq 80$ | - | - | 81 | $\geq 80$ | - |
| Participation Points | 4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Success (\% of Participants) | 94 | 89 | $\geq 90$ | $\geq 90$ | - | $\geq 80$ | - | - | 85 | $\geq 80$ | - |
| Success Points | 9.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of School's Cohort of 2017 Participating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in Each AccuPlacer | 33 | 24 | 39 | 27 | - | 36 | - | - | 24 | - | - |
| CCR Opportunity ACT | 25 | 44 | 13 | 34 | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | 31 | - | - |
| ACT ASPIRE | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| Advanced Placement | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| Career Technical Education | 52 | 60 | 46 | 56 | - | 37 | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| Compass | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| Dual Credit | 79 | 63 | 88 | $\geq 80$ | - | 75 | - | - | 68 | - | - |
| International Baccalaureate | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| PLAN | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| PSAT | 72 | 76 | 70 | 79 | - | 62 | - | - | 62 | - | - |
| SAM School Supplemental | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| SAT | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |
| SAT Subject Test | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 20$ | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - | $\leq 20$ | - | - |

## Bonus Points

Schools can earn points for reducing truancy, promoting extracurricular activities, engaging families, and using technology.

Student and Parent Engagement
Extracurricular Activities

Truancy Improvement $\checkmark$ Using Technology

## Participation

All enrolled students must take the yearly state tests. If a school tests less than $95 \%$ of their students, the school's letter grade is reduced by one grade.

## Additional Information

School Student performance over time can show the success of interventions and school reform. Students who History score Proficient or Advanced are considered to be performing at grade level.

|  |  | AllStudents | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Econ } \\ & \text { Disadv } \end{aligned}$ | Students with Disabilities | English <br> Language <br> Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | $\begin{gathered} \text { Am } \\ \text { Indian } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Reading Proficiency | 2018 (\%) |  | 48 | 58 | 35 | 56 | - | 38 | - | 30 | 41 | 18 | - |
|  | 2017 (\%) | 48 | 57 | 37 | 58 | - | 32 | - | - | 35 | 21 | - |
|  | 2016 (\%) | 53 | 63 | 44 | 61 | - | 43 | - | 54 | 47 | 24 | - |
| Math Proficiency | 2018 (\%) | 20 | 20 | 19 | 22 | - | 15 | - | 30 | 16 | 6 | - |
|  | 2017 (\%) | 20 | 21 | 18 | 25 | - | 10 | - | - | 14 | 15 | - |
|  | 2016 (\%) | 17 | 21 | 14 | 27 | - | 8 | - | $\leq 20$ | 15 | 11 | - |

## Notes

School grading calculations and procedures are described fully in the School Grading Technical Guide posted on the PED's website at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolGradingLinks/1718/Technical Assistance for Educators/Technical Guide 2018.pdf. This guide provides definitions and decision rules for each indicator, including growth. In addition, the guide details how the state benchmark of C was established.

For Student Growth, separate procedures are used for the school overall and for the student groups. Therefore, the values for student groups will not sum to the total shown under school overall.

For high schools that do not have members of 4-year, 5 -year, or 6 -year graduation cohorts, the scale is abbreviated, and letter grades are adjusted to account for the school's remaining non-cohort indicators.

A dash is used to protect student confidentiality as required by state and federal law when there are fewer than 10 students in a group.

Schools that administered tests by computer received bonus points based on the number of students participating.

